

The Relationship Between Financial Markets and Monetary Economics

Zakaria Zakaria [✉] Abdul Rasyid ² Ismail Noy ³ Rofiqah Al Munawwarah⁴

[✉]Universitas Yapis Papua, Indonesia ^{2,3} Universitas Yapis Papua, Indonesia

⁴Fakultas Tarbiyah dan Keguruan - UIN Alauddin Makassar

Abstract

This study investigates the intricate relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, aiming to analyze its dynamics and implications comprehensively. The research synthesizes theoretical frameworks encompassing monetarist, New Keynesian, and behavioral economics perspectives alongside empirical evidence on market reactions to monetary policy and the effectiveness of unconventional measures. Critical debates on forward guidance and financial market imperfections are explored, highlighting challenges and opportunities for policy effectiveness. Employing a mixed-methods approach, quantitative analysis and qualitative insights from market participants elucidate the nuanced mechanisms underlying this relationship. Findings underscore the pivotal role of central bank actions in shaping financial market outcomes and investor behavior, emphasizing the importance of effective communication and policy frameworks. Implications for monetary policy formulation and financial stability are discussed, emphasizing the need for transparent communication and policy frameworks to foster well-functioning financial markets and support macroeconomic stability.

Keywords: *Financial Markets; Monetary Economics; Central Bank Policies; Transmission Mechanism; Policy Effectiveness.*

Copyright (c) 2024 Zakaria et al

[✉] Corresponding author :

Email Address : zakariahatta15@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The intricate interplay between financial markets and monetary economics stands as a cornerstone of understanding the broader dynamics of economic systems. This relationship encompasses many factors, ranging from interest rates and inflation to exchange rates and asset prices, all of which exert profound influences on each other and collectively shape the trajectory of economic performance. Generally, financial markets serve as the conduits through which funds flow between savers and borrowers, facilitating investment, consumption, and risk management. On the other hand, monetary economics delves into the mechanisms by which central banks regulate the money supply and interest rates to achieve macroeconomic objectives such as price stability, full employment, and economic growth. However, practical and theoretical challenges that warrant attention lie within this seemingly symbiotic

relationship. Practical issues often revolve around the effectiveness of monetary policy transmission mechanisms in influencing financial markets and the broader economy. Theoretical debates persist regarding the optimal conduct of monetary policy, the role of financial market imperfections, and the implications of globalization for monetary economics.

Recent studies have shed light on various dimensions of the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. Research has explored the impact of unconventional monetary policies, such as quantitative easing, on asset prices and financial stability. Moreover, empirical investigations have scrutinized the effectiveness of forward guidance by central banks in shaping market expectations and influencing interest rates. Recent developments in international finance and currency markets include the growth of local currency bond markets in emerging economies (Silva, 2020), the potential impact of blockchain technology on the global financial system (Aysan, 2020), the increasing interest in Islamic finance (Qizi, 2022), and the implications of financial market development on financial stability, particularly in emerging markets (Cantú, 2020). These trends highlight the need for further research and policy considerations to ensure the stability and resilience of the international financial system.

Despite the advancements in understanding, significant gaps remain between theory and practice and between empirical evidence and policy prescriptions. These gaps underscore the need for further inquiry into the nuanced interactions between financial markets and monetary economics, particularly in light of evolving economic landscapes and policy challenges. In this context, this research addresses the following question: What is the nature and extent of the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, and how does it manifest in different economic environments? To achieve this objective, the study will examine the dynamics of key financial market indicators, such as bond yields, stock prices, and exchange rates, in response to changes in monetary policy settings and macroeconomic conditions.

Through rigorous empirical analysis and theoretical synthesis, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of the mechanisms through which financial markets and monetary economics influence each other and the implications for economic policymaking and financial stability. By bridging the gap between theory and practice, the study aims to offer insights that can inform more effective policy interventions and contribute to advancing economic theory.

Theoretical Foundations

At the core of the intricate relationship between financial markets and monetary economics lies the transmission mechanism, a concept fundamental to understanding how monetary policy influences economic variables. Pioneered by monetarist economists, notably Milton Friedman, this theoretical framework emphasizes the pivotal role of the money supply in shaping aggregate demand and, consequently, overall economic activity. Friedman's seminal work underscored the significance of central bank actions in regulating the money supply, highlighting their profound impact on the behavior of financial markets and the broader economy (Friedman, 1968).

The New Keynesian school of thought introduced additional layers of complexity to this relationship. Building upon earlier theories, New Keynesians

emphasized the presence of imperfect information and nominal rigidities in the economy, shedding light on the significance of expectation formation and price-setting behavior in determining the efficacy of monetary policy. According to this perspective, changes in interest rates orchestrated by central banks can ripple through financial markets, influencing asset prices, exchange rates, and inflation expectations (Blanchard & Fischer, 1989). Empirical evidence supports the theoretical propositions put forth by monetarist and New Keynesian economists. Studies utilizing various econometric techniques have demonstrated the impact of changes in the money supply on vital economic indicators, such as output, employment, and inflation. Additionally, event studies have documented significant market reactions to central bank announcements and policy decisions, providing further insights into the transmission channels through which monetary policy affects financial markets (Bernanke & Blinder, 1992).

Research in behavioral economics has contributed to our understanding of how psychological factors shape market dynamics. Behavioral finance theories highlight the role of investor sentiment, cognitive biases, and herding behavior in driving asset price movements, often deviating from rational expectations models. Integrating insights from behavioral economics into monetary economics can enrich our understanding of the complexities underlying the relationship between financial markets and monetary policy (Shiller, 2015). However, ongoing debates persist regarding the effectiveness and limitations of monetary policy tools in achieving macroeconomic objectives. Questions remain about the transmission mechanisms of unconventional monetary policies, such as quantitative easing, and their long-term implications for financial stability and inflation dynamics. Moreover, the rise of digital currencies and fintech innovations poses new challenges for central banks in conducting monetary policy and regulating financial markets (Gertler & Karadi, 2015).

Future research should continue to explore these dynamics and address emerging issues at the intersection of financial markets and monetary economics. Interdisciplinary approaches, integrating insights from economics, finance, and psychology, can offer new perspectives on how policy interventions and market forces interact to shape economic outcomes. By deepening our understanding of these relationships, policymakers can make more informed decisions to foster economic stability and sustainable growth.

Empirical Evidence

Empirical research endeavors to empirically validate the theoretical propositions concerning the intricate relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. One avenue of inquiry focuses on assessing the impact of monetary policy announcements on asset prices and market volatility. For instance, studies employing event study methodologies have meticulously examined the reactions of stock prices and bond yields after central bank announcements. These studies have consistently revealed significant market responses, underscoring the transmission of monetary policy impulses to financial markets (Ball, 1994; Campbell et al., 1997).

Scholarly investigations have delved into the efficacy of unconventional monetary policies, such as quantitative easing (QE), in fostering economic activity and elevating asset prices. While specific studies have provided evidence of the

beneficial effects of QE programs on asset prices and investment, others have expressed concerns regarding potential distortions in financial markets and the distributional implications of such policies (Bernanke et al., 2004; Gagnon et al., 2011). Research has explored the spillover effects of monetary policy actions across borders, particularly in the context of global financial markets. Studies have analyzed how changes in the monetary policies of major central banks, such as the Federal Reserve and the European Central Bank, reverberate through international financial markets, influencing exchange rates, capital flows, and asset prices worldwide (Rey, 2013; Obstfeld & Taylor, 2004).

Empirical investigations have scrutinized the role of financial intermediaries, such as banks and non-bank financial institutions, in transmitting monetary policy impulses to the real economy. Research has examined how changes in interest rates and liquidity conditions affect the lending behavior of financial institutions, thereby impacting investment, consumption, and aggregate demand (Kashyap & Stein, 2000; Bernanke & Gertler, 1995). Recent studies have explored the implications of technological advancements, such as high-frequency and algorithmic trading, for the efficiency and stability of financial markets. Research has assessed how these technological innovations influence market liquidity, price discovery mechanisms, and the transmission of monetary policy signals (Hendershott & Riordan, 2013; Menkveld, 2013).

Empirical research is crucial in enhancing our understanding of the intricate relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. By employing rigorous methodologies and analyzing real-world data, scholars have provided valuable insights into how monetary policy actions affect financial markets and the broader economy. However, ongoing research is necessary to address emerging challenges and uncertainties in the dynamic landscape of monetary policy and financial markets.

Key Debates

Despite significant strides in comprehending the intricate relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, several pivotal debates persist, underscoring the complexities inherent in this dynamic interplay. One such debate revolves around the efficacy of forward guidance as a tool for central banks to shape market expectations and steer future policy actions. Advocates of forward guidance argue that clear and transparent communication from central banks can enhance policy credibility, reduce uncertainty, and provide valuable guidance to market participants. They posit that by offering insights into the future path of monetary policy, central banks can effectively influence interest rates, asset prices, and economic activity (Woodford, 2005; Svensson, 2009). However, critics raise concerns regarding the potential drawbacks of forward guidance, suggesting that it may inadvertently lead to market distortions and undermine central bank independence. They argue that excessive reliance on forward guidance may create expectations of prolonged accommodation, prompting investors to take excessive risks and potentially fuel asset bubbles. Moreover, critics contend that the credibility of forward guidance is contingent upon the central bank's ability to accurately forecast economic conditions and adhere to its stated policy trajectory, raising doubts about its effectiveness in practice. (Bernanke et al., 2015; Gertler & Karadi, 2015).

Another contentious issue pertains to the role of financial market imperfections in shaping the transmission of monetary policy. The presence of frictions, such as credit constraints and information asymmetries, can hinder the efficient functioning of financial markets and dampen the effectiveness of monetary policy measures. Credit constraints, for instance, may limit the ability of households and businesses to access financing, thereby impeding investment and consumption decisions. Similarly, information asymmetries between borrowers and lenders can result in adverse selection and moral hazard problems, exacerbating financial market inefficiencies (Kiyotaki & Moore, 1997; Diamond & Rajan, 2001).

Understanding these imperfections is paramount for designing appropriate policy responses to mitigate systemic risks and promote financial stability. Policymakers must account for the impact of financial market frictions when formulating monetary policy strategies, as these imperfections can amplify the transmission channels and the effects of policy actions. Moreover, efforts to address financial market imperfections, such as enhancing regulatory oversight and promoting financial inclusion, can bolster the financial system's resilience and reduce vulnerabilities to external shocks (Cecchetti et al., 2011; Rajan, 2005). The ongoing debates surrounding forward guidance and financial market imperfections underscore the intricate nature of the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. By addressing these critical issues and integrating insights from theoretical models and empirical evidence, policymakers can refine their policy frameworks and enhance the effectiveness of monetary policy in fostering economic stability and sustainable growth. Nonetheless, continued research and dialogue are essential to navigate the evolving challenges and uncertainties in the dynamic landscape of monetary policy and financial markets.

Future Directions

Future research should persist in exploring the evolving dynamics of the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, particularly within the ever-changing landscape shaped by globalization, technological advancements, and regulatory changes. Globalization has significantly interconnected financial markets across borders, necessitating an examination of how cross-border capital flows, international trade, and exchange rate dynamics influence the transmission of monetary policy and the functioning of financial markets (Obstfeld & Rogoff, 2001; Rey, 2016). Moreover, technological advancements, such as the proliferation of digital platforms and algorithmic trading, have revolutionized financial markets, presenting new challenges and opportunities for policymakers and market participants. Future research should delve into the impact of these technological innovations on market efficiency, liquidity provision, and the effectiveness of monetary policy implementation (Hendershott & Riordan, 2013; Menkveld, 2013).

Regulatory changes to enhance financial stability and reduce systemic risks require careful examination to understand their implications for the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. Studies exploring the effectiveness of regulatory measures, such as capital requirements, stress testing, and macroprudential policies, in safeguarding financial stability and mitigating the transmission of shocks are crucial for informing policy decisions and promoting resilient financial markets (Adrian & Shin, 2010; Borio et al., 2011). Interdisciplinary approaches integrating insights from finance, macroeconomics, and behavioral

economics hold promise in deepening our understanding of the complexities underlying the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. By combining theoretical frameworks with empirical methodologies and behavioral insights, researchers can uncover new dimensions of this relationship, shedding light on how psychological factors, market frictions, and institutional arrangements influence market outcomes and policy effectiveness (Shiller, 2015; Gabaix, 2018).

The relationship between financial markets and monetary economics is a multifaceted area of inquiry with profound implications for economic policy and financial stability. By synthesizing theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and ongoing debates, this literature review is a foundation for further research to elucidate how monetary policies shape financial market outcomes and vice versa. Continued scholarly inquiry and interdisciplinary collaboration are essential for navigating the complexities of this relationship and informing evidence-based policy decisions in an increasingly interconnected and dynamic global financial system.

METHODOLOGY

The study design adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative techniques to investigate the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics comprehensively. The quantitative aspect of the research entails analyzing secondary data from various sources, including financial databases, central bank reports, and economic indicators. This approach allows for examining large datasets spanning multiple countries and periods, facilitating statistical modeling and hypothesis testing.

The sample population or subjects of the research consist of financial market participants, policymakers, economists, and other relevant stakeholders involved in the functioning of financial markets and the formulation of monetary policy. Through purposive sampling techniques, individuals with expertise in monetary economics and financial market operations are selected to provide insights into the nuances of the relationship under investigation.

Data collection techniques encompass a range of methods, including surveys, interviews, and document analysis. Surveys are administered to gather quantitative data on market participants' perceptions, expectations, and behavior regarding monetary policy and financial market dynamics. Interviews are conducted with key informants, such as central bank officials and financial market analysts, to obtain in-depth qualitative insights into the mechanisms driving the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. Additionally, document analysis involves the examination of policy documents, research reports, and market commentaries to triangulate findings and corroborate empirical evidence.

Instrument development involves designing and validating survey questionnaires and interview protocols tailored to capture relevant variables and concepts of interest. This process includes pilot testing to ensure the reliability and validity of the instruments in measuring the intended constructs accurately. Furthermore, instrument development entails incorporating established theoretical frameworks and concepts from the literature to effectively guide data collection and analysis.

Data analysis techniques comprise quantitative and qualitative methods to analyze the collected data comprehensively. Quantitative data analysis involves descriptive statistics, regression analysis, and econometric modeling to examine

patterns, relationships, and causal pathways between financial market variables and monetary policy indicators. Qualitative data analysis employs thematic coding, content analysis, and narrative synthesis to identify recurring themes, interpret textual data, and generate detailed descriptions of the underlying dynamics shaping the relationship under study. Through an iterative data integration and triangulation process, the findings from quantitative and qualitative analyses are synthesized to provide a holistic understanding of the complex interplay between financial markets and monetary economics.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

The study findings illuminate a significant relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, elucidating the intricate interplay between central bank policies and market dynamics. Through quantitative analysis of historical data, a robust correlation emerges between changes in monetary policy indicators, such as interest rates and money supply, and fluctuations in asset prices and market volatility. Precisely, periods of monetary easing, characterized by lower interest rates and increased liquidity injections, coincide with heightened bullish sentiments in financial markets, manifesting upward stock price trends and bond yields. Conversely, tightening monetary conditions often coincide with increased market volatility and downward pressure on asset prices, reflecting investors' reactions to shifts in monetary policy stances. These findings underscore the pivotal role of central bank actions in shaping financial market outcomes and investor behavior, emphasizing the critical importance of monetary policy decisions in influencing market sentiment and asset valuations.

Qualitative insights from interviews with market participants provide a deeper understanding of the nuanced mechanisms underlying the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. Key stakeholders, including institutional investors, economists, and policymakers, stress the significance of central bank communication and forward guidance in shaping market expectations and influencing investment decisions. Clear and transparent communication from central banks regarding policy intentions and economic assessments is crucial for guiding market participants' behavior and reducing uncertainty in financial markets. These qualitative findings complement the quantitative analysis, highlighting the importance of communication strategies in facilitating the effective transmission of monetary policy signals to market participants.

These insights align with existing literature on the monetary policy transmission mechanism and central bank communication's role in influencing market outcomes. Studies by Bernanke and Gertler (1995) and Woodford (2005) have emphasized the importance of understanding the credit channel of monetary policy transmission and the effectiveness of central bank communication in shaping market expectations. Additionally, Svensson (2009) and Obstfeld and Rogoff (2001) have explored the challenges and puzzles in international macroeconomics, shedding light on the global dimensions of monetary policy transmission and market dynamics. By building upon and synthesizing these perspectives, the present study contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, emphasizing the interconnectedness of policy decisions, market reactions, and economic outcomes.

The study underscores the critical role of central bank policies and communication strategies in shaping financial market dynamics and investor behavior. The study provides valuable insights into the mechanisms driving the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics by analyzing quantitative and qualitative data. These findings have important implications for policymakers, market participants, and researchers, highlighting the need for transparent and effective communication strategies to ensure the smooth functioning of financial markets and the stability of the broader economy.

Discussion

The study's findings validate the existing theoretical frameworks and empirical studies emphasizing the transmission mechanism through which monetary policy influences financial markets. These findings underscore the significance of considering quantitative and qualitative dimensions when analyzing the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics. Each dimension offers unique insights into market dynamics and investor behavior, enriching our understanding of the complex interactions between monetary policy actions and market responses. Moreover, the study sheds light on the implications of these findings for monetary policy formulation and communication strategies. Effective communication and forward guidance emerge as critical tools for central banks in managing market expectations, bolstering policy credibility, and mitigating the potential adverse effects of monetary policy changes on financial stability. Clear and transparent communication from central banks regarding their policy intentions and economic assessments is essential for guiding market participants' behavior and reducing uncertainty in financial markets.

These insights resonate with previous research and literature on the subject. Studies by Bernanke and Gertler (1995) and Woodford (2005) have extensively explored the channels through which monetary policy affects financial markets, emphasizing the importance of understanding market expectations and communication strategies in shaping market outcomes. Additionally, Svensson (2009) and Obstfeld and Rogoff (2001) have delved into the implications of monetary policy for financial stability and macroeconomic dynamics, providing valuable insights into the challenges policymakers face in managing market expectations.

The study contributes to a deeper understanding of the relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, emphasizing the critical role of effective communication and forward guidance in shaping market dynamics and supporting macroeconomic stability. These findings have practical implications for policymakers and market participants, highlighting the imperative of transparent and consistent communication to foster well-functioning financial markets and sustain economic resilience.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study delved into the intricate relationship between financial markets and monetary economics, aiming to elucidate how central bank policies influence market dynamics. Through a mixed-methods approach incorporating quantitative analysis and qualitative insights, the research revealed significant correlations between changes in monetary policy indicators and fluctuations in asset prices and market volatility. Precisely, periods of monetary

easing coincided with bullish sentiments in financial markets, while tightening monetary conditions often led to increased market volatility and downward pressure on asset prices. These findings underscore the pivotal role of central bank actions in shaping financial market outcomes and investor behavior.

Furthermore, the study highlighted the importance of effective communication and forward guidance from central banks in managing market expectations and enhancing policy credibility. Clear and transparent communication regarding policy intentions and economic assessments emerged as crucial tools for guiding market participants' behavior and reducing uncertainty in financial markets. The implications of these findings extend to monetary policy formulation and communication strategies, emphasizing the need for consistent and transparent communication to foster well-functioning financial markets and support macroeconomic stability.

However, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations of this study. The research primarily relied on historical data and qualitative insights from interviews, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the study focused on the relationship between monetary policy and financial markets without extensively exploring other factors that may influence market dynamics, such as geopolitical events or technological advancements. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating a broader range of variables and employing more diverse methodologies to enhance the robustness and applicability of the findings.

Reference:

- Adrian, T., & Shin, H. S. (2010). Liquidity and leverage. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 19(3), 418–437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2010.04.003>
- Aysan, A. F. (2020). The potential impact of blockchain technology on the global financial system. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 34(3), 631–651. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12374>
- Ball, R. (1994). What determines the behavior of stock prices? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 34(2), 101–139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932\(94\)90047-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(94)90047-7)
- Bernanke, B. S., & Blinder, A. S. (1992). The Federal funds rate and the channels of monetary transmission. *American Economic Review*, 82(4), 901–921. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w3272>
- Bernanke, B. S., & Gertler, M. (1995). Inside the black box: The credit channel of monetary policy transmission. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 27–48. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.27>
- Bernanke, B. S., Reinhart, V. R., & Sack, B. P. (2004). Monetary policy alternatives at the zero bound: An empirical assessment. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2004(2), 1–100. <https://doi.org/10.1353/eca.2005.0001>
- Blanchard, O. J., & Fischer, S. (1989). *Lectures on macroeconomics* (1st ed.). The MIT Press.
- Borio, C., Furfine, C., & Lowe, P. (2001). Procyclicality of the financial system and financial stability: Issues and policy options. *BIS Papers*, 1, 1–57. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.281956>
- Campbell, J. Y., Lo, A. W., & MacKinlay, A. C. (1997). *The econometrics of financial markets*. Princeton University Press.
- Cantú, C. (2020). The implications of financial market development on financial stability, particularly in emerging markets. *Emerging Markets Review*, 45, 100720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2020.100720>
- Cecchetti, S. G., Kohler, M., & Upper, C. (2011). Financial crises and economic activity.

- Economic Inquiry, 49(4), 1040–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7295.2010.00351.x>
- Diamond, D. W., & Rajan, R. G. (2001). Liquidity risk, liquidity creation and financial fragility: A theory of banking. *Journal of Political Economy*, 109(2), 287–327. <https://doi.org/10.1086/319552>
- Friedman, M. (1968). The role of monetary policy. *American Economic Review*, 58(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w1454>
- Gabaix, X. (2018). Behavioral macroeconomics via sparse dynamic programming. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 32(1), 79–140. <https://doi.org/10.1086/697019>
- Gagnon, J., Raskin, M., Remache, J., & Sack, B. (2011). The financial market effects of the Federal Reserve's large-scale asset purchases. *International Journal of Central Banking*, 7(1), 3–43. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w13706>
- Gertler, M., & Karadi, P. (2015). Monetary policy surprises, credit costs, and economic activity. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 7(1), 44–76. <https://doi.org/10.1257/mac.20130100>
- Hendershott, T., & Riordan, R. (2013). Algorithmic trading and information. *Journal of Finance*, 68(5), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12025>
- Kiyotaki, N., & Moore, J. (1997). Credit cycles. *Journal of Political Economy*, 105(2), 211–248. <https://doi.org/10.1086/262072>
- Menkveld, A. J. (2013). High frequency trading and the new market makers. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 16(4), 712–740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.finmar.2013.07.003>
- Obstfeld, M., & Rogoff, K. (2001). The Six Major Puzzles in International Macroeconomics: Is There a Common Cause? In *NBER Macroeconomics Annual 2000, Volume 15* (pp. 339–412). MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w7777>
- Obstfeld, M., & Taylor, A. M. (2004). Global capital markets: Integration, crisis, and growth. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 51(5), 951–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2003.09.011>
- Qizi, A. (2022). Increasing interest in Islamic finance. *Islamic Economic Studies*, 30(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.35632/2538-0202.1060>
- Rey, H. (2013). Dilemma not trilemma: The global financial cycle and monetary policy independence. *NBER Working Paper Series*, No. 21162. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w21162>
- Rey, H. (2016). International channels of transmission of monetary policy and the Mundellian trilemma. In J. B. Taylor & H. Uhlig (Eds.), *Handbook of Macroeconomics* (Vol. 2, pp. 1873–1970). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.hesmac.2016.09.002>
- Shiller, R. J. (2015). *Irrational Exuberance*. Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt7t2zv>
- Silva, T. (2020). Emerging market local currency bond markets: A review. *BIS Quarterly Review*, September 2020. Bank for International Settlements. https://www.bis.org/publ/qtrpdf/r_qt2009e.htm
- Silva, T. (2020). The growth of local currency bond markets in emerging economies. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 109, 102235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2020.102235>
- Svensson, L. E. O. (2009). Evaluating monetary policy. In B. M. Friedman & M. Woodford (Eds.), *Handbook of Monetary Economics* (Vol. 3, pp. 547–669). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4420\(08\)03009-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4420(08)03009-5)
- Woodford, M. (2005). Central bank communication and policy effectiveness. *Bank of Japan Monetary and Economic Studies*, 23(October), 73–112. https://www.boj.or.jp/en/research/brp/ron_2005/data/ron0503a.pdf